

A REMARK ON FRACTIONAL INTEGRALS ON MODULATION SPACES

MITSURU SUGIMOTO AND NAOHITO TOMITA

1. INTRODUCTION

The fractional integral operator I_α is defined by

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy, \quad \gamma(\alpha) = \frac{\pi^{n/2} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha/2)}{\Gamma((n-\alpha)/2)},$$

where $0 < \alpha < n$. The well known Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem says that I_α is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $1 < p < q < \infty$ and $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ (see [6, Chapter 5, Theorem 1]). We can regard this theorem as information on how the operation of I_α changes the decay property of functions. On the other hand, the operator I_α can be understood as a differential operator of $(-\alpha)$ -th order since $\widehat{I_\alpha f} = |\xi|^{-\alpha} \widehat{f}$ ([6, Chapter 5, Lemma 1]), and we can expect an increase in the smoothness by acting it to functions.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the effect of I_α on both decay and smoothness properties. To study these two properties simultaneously, we consider the operation of I_α on the modulation spaces $M^{p,q}$, which were introduced by Feichtinger [3] (see also Triebel [8]). We say that f belongs to $M^{p,q}$ if its short time Fourier transform

$$V_\varphi f(x, \xi) = e^{-ix \cdot \xi} [f * (M_\xi \varphi)](x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} [\widehat{f} * (M_{-x} \varphi)](\xi)$$

is in L^p (resp. L^q) with respect to x (resp. ξ), where φ is the Gauss function $\varphi(t) = e^{-|t|^2/2}$. Although the exact definition will be given in the next section, we can see here that the decay of $V_\varphi f(x, \xi)$ with respect to x is determined by that of f , and the one with respect to ξ is determined by that of $\widehat{f}$, that is, the smoothness of f . Hence, the first index p of $M^{p,q}$ measures the decay of f , and the second index q of $M^{p,q}$ measures the smoothness of f . To understand it, we remark that $C_1(1 + |t|)^a \leq f(t) \leq C_2(1 + |t|)^b$ implies $\widehat{C_1(1 + |t|)^a} \leq \widehat{f} \leq \widehat{C_2(1 + |t|)^b}$, where a, b are arbitrary real numbers, since the Gauss function is rapidly decreasing. These explanations can be found in Gröchenig [5, Chapter 11].

Since the fractional integral operator I_α is a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of convolution type, it is easy to see that I_α is bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when

$$(1.1) \quad 1/p_2 = 1/p_1 - \alpha/n \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 = q_2$$

([7, Theorem 3.2]). This boundedness says that the smoothness does not change but the decay of $I_\alpha f$ is worse than that of f since $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in this case (see Section 2 for this embedding). However, as we have discussed in the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20, 42B35.

Key words and phrases. Modulation spaces, fractional integrals.

above, we can expect an increase in the smoothness. Furthermore, since I_α is not bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can easily prove that I_α is not bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $p_1 \geq p_2$ and $q_1 \geq q_2$ by using duality and interpolation (see Remark 4.1). This means that both decay and smoothness do not increase, simultaneously.

On the other hand, Tomita [7] essentially proved that I_α is bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when

$$(1.2) \quad 1/p_2 < 1/p_1 - \alpha/n \quad \text{and} \quad 1/q_2 < 1/q_1 + \alpha/n.$$

This boundedness says that the decay of $I_\alpha f$ is worse than that of f by the order α/n , but the smoothness of $I_\alpha f$ is better than that of f up to the order α/n . This result seems to be reasonable but there still remain the problems whether the order α/n is the best possible one or not and what about the critical cases $1/p_2 = 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$ or $1/q_2 = 1/q_1 + \alpha/n$. The following theorem is the complete answers to these questions:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < n$ and $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$. Then the fractional integral operator I_α is bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if*

$$1/p_2 \leq 1/p_1 - \alpha/n \quad \text{and} \quad 1/q_2 < 1/q_1 + \alpha/n.$$

Theorem 1.1 says that the boundedness of I_α holds even if $1/p_2 = 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$, $1/q_2 < 1/q_1 + \alpha/n$ and $q_1 > q_2$. This is a strictly improvement of (1.1) and (1.2). However, the boundedness does not hold if the second index is critical, that is, $1/q_2 = 1/q_1 + \alpha/n$. We remark that [7] did not treat the necessary condition for the boundedness.

In order to consider the detailed behavior of the first and second indices, we introduce the more general operator $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ defined by $I_{\alpha, \beta} = I_\alpha + I_\beta$, that is,

$$I_{\alpha, \beta} f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[(|\xi|^{-\alpha} + |\xi|^{-\beta}) \hat{f} \right],$$

where $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < n$. We note that $|\xi|^{-\alpha} + |\xi|^{-\beta} \sim |\xi|^{-\alpha}$ in the case $|\xi| \leq 1$, and $|\xi|^{-\alpha} + |\xi|^{-\beta} \sim |\xi|^{-\beta}$ in the case $|\xi| \geq 1$. Since $I_{\alpha, \alpha} = 2I_\alpha$, we have Theorem 1.1 as a corollary of the following main result in this paper:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < n$ and $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$. Then $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ is bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if*

$$1/p_2 \leq 1/p_1 - \alpha/n \quad \text{and} \quad 1/q_2 < 1/q_1 + \beta/n.$$

Finally we mention some related results. Cowling, Meda and Pasquale [2] proved that $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ is bounded from (L^{p_1}, ℓ^{q_1}) to (L^{p_2}, ℓ^{q_2}) when

$$1/p_2 \geq 1/p_1 - \beta/n \quad \text{and} \quad 1/q_2 \leq 1/q_1 - \alpha/n,$$

where (L^{p_i}, ℓ^{q_i}) , $i = 1, 2$, are amalgam spaces defined by

$$\|f\|_{(L^p, \ell^q)} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(\cdot - k)f\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{1/q}$$

with an appropriate (see (3.1)) cut-off function φ . The result between $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ and amalgam spaces of Lorentz type can be also found in Cordero and Nicola [1]. The

definition of amalgam spaces is based on a similar idea to that of modulation spaces since we have the equivalence

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,q}} \sim \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(\cdot - k)\widehat{f}]\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Roughly speaking, amalgam spaces are defined by a decomposition of the function f while the modulation spaces by the same decomposition of $\widehat{f}$. Theorem 1.2 also shows a difference between the modulation spaces and amalgam spaces, because the boundedness of $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ on the modulation spaces does not hold if the second index is critical.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz spaces of all rapidly decreasing smooth functions and tempered distributions, respectively. We define the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ and the inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

We introduce the modulation spaces based on Gröchenig [5]. Fix a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ (called the *window function*). Then the short-time Fourier transform $V_\varphi f$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to φ is defined by

$$V_\varphi f(x, \xi) = (f, M_\xi T_x \varphi) \quad \text{for } x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $M_\xi \varphi(t) = e^{i\xi \cdot t} \varphi(t)$, $T_x \varphi(t) = \varphi(t - x)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the inner product on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We note that, for $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $V_\varphi f$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $|V_\varphi f(x, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |x| + |\xi|)^N$ for some constants $C, N \geq 0$ ([5, Theorem 11.2.3]). Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then the modulation space $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,q}} = \|V_\varphi f\|_{L^{p,q}} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |V_\varphi f(x, \xi)|^p dx \right)^{q/p} d\xi \right\}^{1/q} < \infty,$$

with usual modification when $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$. We note that $M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ([5, Proposition 11.3.1]), $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space ([5, Proposition 11.3.5]), $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ ([5, Proposition 11.3.4]), and $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $p_1 \leq p_2$ and $q_1 \leq q_2$ ([5, Theorem 12.2.2]). The definition of $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is independent of the choice of the window function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, that is, different window functions yield equivalent norms ([5, Proposition 11.3.2]). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\text{supp } \varphi$ is compact and $|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi(\xi - k)| \geq C > 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then it is well known that

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{M^{p,q}} \sim \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(D - k)f\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{1/q},$$

where $\varphi(D - k)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(\cdot - k)\widehat{f}]$ (see, for example, [8]). The following two lemmas will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1 ([9, Proposition, 1.3.2], [10, Lemma 3.1]). *Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set with $\text{diam } \Omega < R$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$*

such that $\|f\|_{L^q} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset \Omega$, where C depends only on p, q, n and R . In particular,

$$\|\varphi(D - k)f\|_{L^q} \leq C\|\varphi(D - k)f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where φ is the Schwartz function with compact support.

Lemma 2.2 ([6, Chapter 4, Theorem 3]). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If $m \in C^{[n/2]+1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ satisfies*

$$|\partial^\gamma m(\xi)| \leq C_\gamma |\xi|^{-|\gamma|} \quad \text{for all } |\gamma| \leq [n/2] + 1,$$

then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|m(D)f\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where C depends only on p, n and C_γ , $|\gamma| \leq [n/2] + 1$.

3. SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR THE BOUNDEDNESS OF FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

In this section, we prove the “if” part of Theorem 1.2. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi = 1 \text{ on } [-1/2, 1/2]^n, \quad \text{supp } \varphi \subset [-3/4, 3/4]^n, \quad \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi(\xi - k) \right| \geq C > 0$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$(3.2) \quad m_k^\alpha(\xi) = |k|^\alpha |\xi|^{-\alpha} \varphi(\xi - k),$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is as in (3.1). Then $\sup_{k \neq 0} \|m_k^\alpha(D)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p)} < \infty$.

Proof. Our proof is similar to that of [4, Theorem 20]. Since $\|m_k^\alpha(D)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p)} = \|m_k^\alpha(D+k)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p)}$, by Lemma 2.2, it is enough to show that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{\xi \neq 0} |\xi|^{|\gamma|} |\partial^\gamma m_k^\alpha(\xi + k)| = \sup_{\xi \neq 0} |\xi|^{|\gamma|} |\partial^\gamma (|k|^\alpha |\xi + k|^{-\alpha} \varphi(\xi))| \leq C$$

for all $k \neq 0$ and $|\gamma| \leq [n/2] + 1$. Since $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-3/4, 3/4]^n$, we see that $|\xi + k| \geq 1/4$ on $\text{supp } \varphi$ for all $k \neq 0$. Hence, $|k| \sim |\xi + k|$ on $\text{supp } \varphi$ for all $k \neq 0$. This gives (3.3). $\square$

We are now ready to prove the “if” part of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of “if” part of Theorem 1.2. Let $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < n$, $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$, $1/p_2 \leq 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$ and $1/q_2 < 1/q_1 + \beta/n$. We first consider the case $1/p_2 = 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$ and $q_1 > q_2$. In view of (2.1),

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|I_{\alpha, \beta} f\|_{M^{p_2, q_2}} &\leq C \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(D - k)(I_{\alpha, \beta} f)\|_{L^{p_2}}^{q_2} \right)^{1/q_2} \\ &\leq \|\varphi(D)(I_{\alpha, \beta} f)\|_{L^{p_2}} + \left(\sum_{k \neq 0} \|\varphi(D - k)(I_{\alpha, \beta} f)\|_{L^{p_2}}^{q_2} \right)^{1/q_2} \end{aligned}$$

where φ is as in (3.1). Since $0 < 1/p_2 + \beta/n \leq 1/p_2 + \alpha/n = 1/p_1 < 1$, we can take $1 < \tilde{p}_1 < \infty$ such that $1/p_2 = 1/\tilde{p}_1 - \beta/n$. Note that $p_1 \leq \tilde{p}_1$. By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\varphi(D)(I_{\alpha,\beta}f)\|_{L^{p_2}} &\leq \|\varphi(D)(I_\alpha f)\|_{L^{p_2}} + \|\varphi(D)(I_\beta f)\|_{L^{p_2}} \\
 &= \|I_\alpha(\varphi(D)f)\|_{L^{p_2}} + \|I_\beta(\varphi(D)f)\|_{L^{p_2}} \\
 (3.5) \quad &\leq C_\alpha \|\varphi(D)f\|_{L^{p_1}} + C_\beta \|\varphi(D)f\|_{L^{\tilde{p}_1}} \leq C \|\varphi(D)f\|_{L^{p_1}} \\
 &\leq C \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(D-k)f\|_{L^{p_1}}^{q_1} \right)^{1/q_1} \leq C \|f\|_{M^{p_1,q_1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\psi = 1$ on $\text{supp } \varphi$, $\text{supp } \psi$ is compact and $|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \psi(\xi - k)| \geq C > 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(D-k)(I_{\alpha,\beta}f) &= I_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi(D-k)f) = I_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi(D-k)\psi(D-k)f) \\
 &= [I_\alpha \varphi(D-k)](\psi(D-k)f) + [I_\beta \varphi(D-k)](\psi(D-k)f) \\
 &= |k|^{-\alpha} m_k^\alpha(D)(\psi(D-k)f) + |k|^{-\beta} m_k^\beta(D)(\psi(D-k)f)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \neq 0$, where m_k^α and m_k^β are defined by (3.2). Hence, by Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\varphi(D-k)(I_{\alpha,\beta}f)\|_{L^{p_2}} &\leq C(|k|^{-\alpha} + |k|^{-\beta}) \|\psi(D-k)f\|_{L^{p_2}} \\
 (3.6) \quad &\leq C|k|^{-\beta} \|\psi(D-k)f\|_{L^{p_2}} \leq C|k|^{-\beta} \|\psi(D-k)f\|_{L^{p_1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \neq 0$. Set $a(k) = |k|^{-\beta}$ if $k \neq 0$, and $a(0) = 1$. Note that $\{a(k)\} \in \ell^r(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, where $1/r = 1/q_2 - 1/q_1$. Therefore, by (3.6) and Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad &\left(\sum_{k \neq 0} \|\varphi(D-k)(I_{\alpha,\beta}f)\|_{L^{p_2}}^{q_2} \right)^{1/q_2} \leq \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (a(k) \|\psi(D-k)f\|_{L^{p_1}})^{q_2} \right\}^{1/q_2} \\
 &\leq \|\{a(k)\}\|_{\ell^r} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\psi(D-k)f\|_{L^{p_1}}^{q_1} \right)^{1/q_1} \leq C \|f\|_{M^{p_1,q_1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Combining (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7), we obtain the desired result with $1/p_2 = 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$ and $q_1 > q_2$.

We next consider the case $1/p_2 = 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$ and $q_1 \leq q_2$. Since $\beta/n > 0$, we can take $1 < \tilde{q}_2 < \infty$ such that $q_1 > \tilde{q}_2$ and $1/\tilde{q}_2 < 1/q_1 + \beta/n$. Note that $q_2 > \tilde{q}_2$. Then, by the preceding case, we see that $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ is bounded from $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2,\tilde{q}_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This implies that $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ is bounded from $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since $M^{p_2,\tilde{q}_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Finally, we consider the case $1/p_2 < 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$. Since $0 < 1/p_1 - \alpha/n < 1$, we can take $1 < \tilde{p}_2 < \infty$ such that $1/\tilde{p}_2 = 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$. Note that $p_2 > \tilde{p}_2$. Then, by the preceding cases, we see that $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ is bounded from $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{\tilde{p}_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This implies that $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ is bounded from $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since $M^{\tilde{p}_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The proof is complete.

4. NECESSARY CONDITION FOR THE BOUNDEDNESS OF FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

Before proving the “only if” part of Theorem 1.2, we give the following remark:

Remark 4.1. Let $p_1 \geq p_2$ and $q_1 \geq q_2$. In Introduction, we have stated that I_α is not bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In fact, since $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if I_α is bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then I_α is bounded on $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by duality, I_α is also bounded on $M^{p'_1, q'_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By interpolation, the boundedness on $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and on $M^{p'_1, q'_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies that I_α is bounded on $M^{2, 2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, since I_α is not bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ([6, p.119]), this is a contradiction. Hence, I_α is not bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In the rest of the paper, we prove the “only if” part of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < n$ and $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$. If $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ is bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $1/p_2 \leq 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$.*

Proof. We only consider the case $\alpha > \beta$, since the proof in the case $\alpha = \beta$ is simpler. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $\text{supp } \psi \subset [-1, 1]^n$. Set $\Psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi$ and $\Psi_\lambda(x) = \Psi(\lambda x)$, where $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi(D - k)\Psi_\lambda = \begin{cases} \Psi_\lambda & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $0 < \lambda < 1/4$, where φ is as in (3.1). Similarly,

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi(D - k)(I_{\alpha, \beta}\Psi_\lambda) = I_{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi(D - k)\Psi_\lambda) = \begin{cases} I_\alpha\Psi_\lambda + I_\beta\Psi_\lambda & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $0 < \lambda < 1/4$. By (2.1) and (4.1), we see that

$$(4.3) \quad \|\Psi_\lambda\|_{M^{p_1, q_1}} \leq C \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(D - k)\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^{p_1}}^{q_1} \right)^{1/q_1} = C \|\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^{p_1}} = C\lambda^{-n/p_1}$$

for all $0 < \lambda < 1/4$. Since $\alpha > \beta$, we can take $0 < \lambda_0 < 1/4$ such that $\|I_\alpha\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}}\lambda_0^{-\alpha} > 2\|I_\beta\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}}\lambda_0^{-\beta}$. Note that $\|I_\alpha\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}}\lambda^{-\alpha} > 2\|I_\beta\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}}\lambda^{-\beta}$ for all $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$. Since $I_\alpha\Psi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{-\alpha}(I_\alpha\Psi)(\lambda x)$, by (2.1) and (4.2), we see that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|I_{\alpha, \beta}\Psi_\lambda\|_{M^{p_2, q_2}} &\geq C \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(D - k)(I_{\alpha, \beta}\Psi_\lambda)\|_{L^{p_2}}^{q_2} \right)^{1/q_2} \\ &= C\|I_\alpha\Psi_\lambda + I_\beta\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^{p_2}} \geq C(\|I_\alpha\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^{p_2}} - \|I_\beta\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^{p_2}}) \\ &= C\lambda^{-n/p_2} (\lambda^{-\alpha}\|I_\alpha\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}} - \lambda^{-\beta}\|I_\beta\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}}) \\ &\geq C\lambda^{-n/p_2} (\lambda^{-\alpha}\|I_\alpha\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}}/2) = C\lambda^{-n/p_2 - \alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$. Hence, by (4.3) and (4.4), if $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ is bounded from $M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$C_1\lambda^{-n/p_2 - \alpha} \leq \|I_{\alpha, \beta}\Psi_\lambda\|_{M^{p_2, q_2}} \leq \|I_{\alpha, \beta}\|_{\text{op}} \|\Psi_\lambda\|_{M^{p_1, q_1}} \leq C_2\lambda^{-n/p_1}$$

for all $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$. This implies $-n/p_2 - \alpha \geq -n/p_1$, that is, $1/p_2 \leq 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 4.3. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and N be a sufficiently large number. Then

$$\begin{cases} |x|^{-n/p}(\log|x|)^{-\alpha/p}\chi_{\{|x|>N\}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \\ |x|^{-n/p}(\log|x|)^{-\alpha/p}\chi_{\{|x|>N\}} \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), & \text{if } \alpha \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

In fact, by a change of variables,

$$\int_{|x|>N} |x|^{-n} (\log |x|)^{-\alpha} dx = C_n \int_N^\infty r^{-n} (\log r)^{-\alpha} r^{n-1} dr = C_n \int_{\log N}^\infty t^{-\alpha} dt.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < n$ and $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$. If $1/q_2 = 1/q_1 + \beta/n$, then $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ is not bounded from $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. We only consider the case $\alpha > \beta$, since the proof in the case $\alpha = \beta$ is simpler. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as in (3.1). Set $C_\alpha = \sup_{k \neq 0} \|m_k^\alpha(D)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p_2})}$ and $C_\beta = \sup_{k \neq 0} \|m_k^{-\beta}(D)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p_2})}$, where m_k^α and $m_k^{-\beta}(D)$ are defined by (3.2) with φ . Since $\alpha > \beta$, we can take a sufficiently large natural number N such that $C_\beta^{-1}N^{-\beta} > 2C_\alpha N^{-\alpha}$. Then

$$(4.5) \quad C_\beta^{-1}|k|^{-\beta} > 2C_\alpha|k|^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for all } |k| \geq N.$$

Since $1/q_2 > 1/q_1$, we can take $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(1+\epsilon)q_2/q_1 < 1$. For these ϵ and N , set

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\ell|>N} |\ell|^{-n/q_1} (\log |\ell|)^{-(1+\epsilon)/q_1} e^{i\ell \cdot x} \Psi(x),$$

where $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $\text{supp } \psi \subset [-1/4, 1/4]^n$ and $\Psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi$. Since $\varphi = 1$ on $[-1/2, 1/2]^n$ and $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-3/4, 3/4]^n$,

$$(4.6) \quad \varphi(D-k)f(x) = \begin{cases} |k|^{-n/q_1} (\log |k|)^{-(1+\epsilon)/q_1} e^{ik \cdot x} \Psi(x) & \text{if } |k| > N, \\ 0 & \text{if } |k| \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$(4.7) \quad \varphi(D-k)I_{\alpha,\beta}f(x) = \begin{cases} |k|^{-n/q_1} (\log |k|)^{-(1+\epsilon)/q_1} I_{\alpha,\beta}(M_k\Psi)(x) & \text{if } |k| > N, \\ 0 & \text{if } |k| \leq N, \end{cases}$$

where $M_k\Psi(x) = e^{ik \cdot x}\Psi(x)$. By (4.6), we have

$$\|\varphi(D-k)f\|_{L^{p_1}} = \begin{cases} \|\Psi\|_{L^{p_1}} |k|^{-n/q_1} (\log |k|)^{-(1+\epsilon)/q_1} & \text{if } |k| > N, \\ 0 & \text{if } |k| \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Remark 4.3, we see that $f \in M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(M_k\Psi) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} [|\xi|^{-\alpha} \psi(\xi-k)] \\ &= |k|^{-\alpha} \mathcal{F}^{-1} [(|k|^\alpha |\xi|^{-\alpha} \varphi(\xi-k)) \psi(\xi-k)] = |k|^{-\alpha} m_k^\alpha(D)(M_k\Psi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |k|^{-\beta} M_k\Psi &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} [|k|^{-\beta} \psi(\xi-k)] \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} [(|k|^{-\beta} |\xi|^\beta \varphi(\xi-k)) (|\xi|^{-\beta} \psi(\xi-k))] = m_k^{-\beta}(D)I_\beta(M_k\Psi), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|I_\alpha(M_k\Psi)\|_{L^{p_2}} \leq |k|^{-\alpha} \|m_k^\alpha(D)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p_2})} \|M_k\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}} \leq C_\alpha |k|^{-\alpha} \|M_k\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}}$$

and

$$\|M_k\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}} \leq |k|^\beta \|m_k^{-\beta}(D)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{p_2})} \|I_\beta(M_k\Psi)\|_{L^{p_2}} \leq C_\beta |k|^\beta \|I_\beta(M_k\Psi)\|_{L^{p_2}}$$

for all $|k| > N$. Hence, by (4.5),

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|I_{\alpha,\beta}(M_k\Psi)\|_{L^{p_2}} &\geq \|I_\beta(M_k\Psi)\|_{L^{p_2}} - \|I_\alpha(M_k\Psi)\|_{L^{p_2}} \\ &\geq \left(C_\beta^{-1}|k|^{-\beta} - C_\alpha|k|^{-\alpha}\right) \|M_k\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}} \geq \left(C_\beta^{-1}|k|^{-\beta}/2\right) \|\Psi\|_{L^{p_2}} = C|k|^{-\beta} \end{aligned}$$

for all $|k| > N$. Then, it follows from (4.7) and (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(D-k)(I_{\alpha,\beta}f)\|_{L^{p_2}} &\geq C|k|^{-n/q_1-\beta} (\log|k|)^{-(1+\epsilon)/q_1} \\ &= C|k|^{-n/q_2} (\log|k|)^{-\{(1+\epsilon)q_2/q_1\}/q_2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $|k| > N$. Also, $\|\varphi(D-k)(I_{\alpha,\beta}f)\|_{L^{p_2}} = 0$ if $|k| \leq N$. Since $(1+\epsilon)q_2/q_1 < 1$, by Remark 4.3, we have $\{|k|^{-n/q_2}(\log|k|)^{-\{(1+\epsilon)q_2/q_1\}/q_2}\}_{|k|>N} \notin \ell^{q_2}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. This implies $(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(D-k)(I_{\alpha,\beta}f)\|_{L^{p_2}}^{q_2})^{1/q_2} = \infty$, that is, $I_{\alpha,\beta}f \notin M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ is not bounded from $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The proof is complete. $\square$

We are now ready to prove the “only if” part of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of “only if” part of Theorem 1.2. Let $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < n$ and $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$. Assume that $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ is bounded from $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by Lemma 4.2, we see that $1/p_2 \leq 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$. On the other hand, if $1/q_2 \geq 1/q_1 + \beta/n$ then $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ is bounded from $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{p_2,\tilde{q}_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since $M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p_2,\tilde{q}_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1/\tilde{q}_2 = 1/q_1 + \beta/n$. However, this contradicts Lemma 4.4. Hence, $1/q_2 < 1/q_1 + \beta/n$. The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Cordero and F. Nicola, Strichartz estimate in Wiener amalgam spaces for the Schrödinger equation, Math. Nachr., to appear.
- [2] M. Cowling, S. Meda and R. Pasquale, Riesz potentials and amalgams, Ann Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 49 (1999), 1345-1367.
- [3] H.G. Feichtinger, Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups, in: M. Krishna, R. Radha and S. Thangavelu (Eds.), Wavelets and their Applications, Chennai, India, Allied Publishers, New Delhi, 2003, pp. 99-140, Updated version of a technical report, University of Vienna, 1983.
- [4] H.G. Feichtinger and G. Narimani, Fourier multipliers of classical modulation spaces, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 21 (2006), 349-359.
- [5] K. Gröchenig, Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [6] E.M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [7] N. Tomita, Fractional integrals on modulation spaces, Math. Nachr. 279 (2006), 672-680.
- [8] H. Triebel, Modulation spaces on the Euclidean n -spaces, Z. Anal. Anwendungen 2 (1983), 443-457.
- [9] H. Triebel, Theory of Function spaces, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart, 1983.
- [10] B. Wang, L. Zhao and B. Guo, Isometric decomposition operators, function spaces $E_{p,q}^\lambda$ and applications to nonlinear equation, J. Funct. Anal. 233 (2006), 1-39.

MITSURU SUGIMOTO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

E-mail address: sugimoto@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

NAOHITO TOMITA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

E-mail address: tomita@gaia.math.wani.osaka-u.ac.jp